

Church of England - Statistics for Mission 2012: Ministry

	Province	Stipendiary Clergy						Self-Supporting Clergy						Total Clergy - Stipendiary & Self-Supporting (2012 Ministry Figures)			M%	F%
		Full Time			Part-Time			Self-Supporting Ministers			Ordained Local Ministers							
		M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total		
1 Bath & Wells	C	144	53	197	6	8	14	32	25	57	0	0	0	182	86	268	68%	32%
2 Birmingham	C	115	48	163	1	4	5	16	23	39	0	0	0	132	75	207	64%	36%
3 Blackburn	Y	148	20	168	5	6	11	28	24	52	0	0	0	181	50	231	78%	22%
4 Bradford	Y	69	18	87	4	7	11	22	23	45	0	0	0	95	48	143	66%	34%
5 Bristol	C	86	19	105	4	4	8	24	33	57	8	11	19	122	67	189	65%	35%
6 Canterbury	C	105	27	132	2	1	3	23	31	54	4	6	10	134	65	199	67%	33%
7 Carlisle	Y	98	26	124	2	3	5	23	21	44	0	1	1	123	51	174	71%	29%
8 Chelmsford	C	265	89	354	5	8	13	49	45	94	1	3	4	320	145	465	69%	31%
9 Chester	Y	168	56	224	21	10	31	23	43	66	0	0	0	212	109	321	66%	34%
10 Chichester	C	253	22	275	17	6	23	56	43	99	0	0	0	326	71	397	82%	18%
11 Coventry	C	99	18	117	4	6	10	17	25	42	2	6	8	122	55	177	69%	31%
12 Derby	C	104	45	149	1	1	2	31	27	58	3	8	11	139	81	220	63%	37%
13 Durham	Y	132	33	165	4	5	9	17	25	42	1	4	5	154	67	221	70%	30%
14 Ely	C	81	46	127	3	8	11	33	30	63	0	0	0	117	84	201	58%	42%
15 Exeter	C	168	38	206	23	6	29	31	27	58	0	0	0	222	71	293	76%	24%
16 Gloucester	C	93	32	125	4	6	10	42	43	85	2	1	3	141	82	223	63%	37%
17 Guildford	C	136	34	170	7	12	19	24	41	65	25	22	47	192	109	301	64%	36%
18 Hereford	C	57	33	90	0	3	3	17	25	42	2	7	9	76	68	144	53%	47%
19 Leicester	C	95	41	136	6	4	10	16	23	39	0	0	0	117	68	185	63%	37%
20 Lichfield	C	241	51	292	11	13	24	36	39	75	23	26	49	311	129	440	71%	29%
21 Lincoln	C	112	35	147	3	1	4	17	25	42	16	27	43	148	88	236	63%	37%
22 Liverpool	Y	150	56	206	0	2	2	17	19	36	11	16	27	178	93	271	66%	34%
23 London	C	450	80	530	5	8	13	98	52	150	0	0	0	553	140	693	80%	20%
24 Manchester	Y	158	66	224	7	1	8	19	15	34	35	41	76	219	123	342	64%	36%
25 Newcastle	Y	88	28	116	4	3	7	12	23	35	8	8	16	112	62	174	64%	36%
26 Norwich	C	145	37	182	11	7	18	11	15	26	20	24	44	187	83	270	69%	31%
27 Oxford	C	293	98	391	7	4	11	99	93	192	16	24	40	415	219	634	65%	35%
28 Peterborough	C	100	37	137	5	0	5	20	16	36	0	0	0	125	53	178	70%	30%
29 Portsmouth	C	76	22	98	3	6	9	14	32	46	0	0	0	93	60	153	61%	39%
30 Ripon & Leeds	Y	81	40	121	0	1	1	16	19	35	0	0	0	97	60	157	62%	38%
31 Rochester	C	158	42	200	5	7	12	26	36	62	0	0	0	189	85	274	69%	31%
32 St. Albans	C	168	71	239	6	10	16	30	40	70	0	1	1	204	122	326	63%	37%
33 St. Edmundsbury & Ipswich	C	94	39	133	4	7	11	18	21	39	10	16	26	126	83	209	60%	40%
34 Salisbury	C	142	50	192	2	1	3	35	68	103	10	12	22	189	131	320	59%	41%
35 Sheffield	Y	107	38	145	4	6	10	14	11	25	0	0	0	125	55	180	69%	31%
36 Sodor & Man	Y	13	2	15	1	1	2	3	3	6	2	0	2	19	6	25	76%	24%
37 Southwark	C	264	82	346	2	4	6	76	83	159	0	0	0	342	169	511	67%	33%
38 Southwell & Nottingham	Y	92	43	135	5	6	11	12	19	31	0	0	0	109	68	177	62%	38%
39 Truro	C	73	21	94	6	5	11	15	23	38	3	2	5	97	51	148	66%	34%
40 Wakefield	Y	100	35	135	1	3	4	26	25	51	5	13	18	132	76	208	63%	37%
41 Winchester	C	137	33	170	3	1	4	70	50	120	0	0	0	210	84	294	71%	29%
42 Worcester	C	86	27	113	1	1	2	12	27	39	0	0	0	99	55	154	64%	36%
43 York	Y	163	36	199	5	3	8	38	37	75	0	1	1	206	77	283	73%	27%
44 Europe	C	110	14	124	0	0	0	23	12	35	0	0	0	133	26	159	84%	16%
West Yorkshire & the Dales (combining above diocese)	Y	250	93	343	5	11	16	64	67	131	5	13	18	324	184	508	64%	36%
Totals Province of Canterbury	C	4,450	1,284	5,734	157	152	309	1,011	1,073	2,084	145	196	341	5,763	2,705	8,468	68%	32%
Totals Province of York	Y	1,567	497	2,064	63	57	120	270	307	577	62	84	146	1,962	945	2,907	67%	33%
Totals CHURCH of England		6,017	1,781	7,798	220	209	429	1,281	1,380	2,661	207	280	487	7,725	3,650	11,375	68%	32%

General Synod 2015 - Election Candidates

	Numbers standing for election GS 2015			Numbers standing for GS election (2015) as percentage of eligible candidates (2012 data) (highest percentage coloured yellow)			Comparison of representation in elections True/False
	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	
	1 Bath & Wells	9	2	11	4.9%	2.3%	
2 Birmingham	4	3	7	3.0%	4.0%	3.4%	Females higher percentage
3 Blackburn	9	1	10	5.0%	2.0%	4.3%	Males higher percentage
4 Bradford							
5 Bristol	7	0	7	5.7%	0.0%	3.7%	Males higher percentage
6 Canterbury	9	1	10	6.7%	1.5%	5.0%	Males higher percentage
7 Carlisle	5	1	6	4.1%	2.0%	3.4%	Males higher percentage
8 Chelmsford	10	3	13	3.1%	2.1%	2.8%	Males higher percentage
9 Chester	4	3	7	1.9%	2.8%	2.2%	Females higher percentage
10 Chichester	13	2	15	4.0%	2.8%	3.8%	Males higher percentage
11 Coventry	3	2	5	2.5%	3.6%	2.8%	Females higher percentage
12 Derby	6	2	8	4.3%	2.5%	3.6%	Males higher percentage
13 Durham	9	1	10	5.8%	1.5%	4.5%	Males higher percentage
14 Ely	6	0	6	5.1%	0.0%	3.0%	Males higher percentage
15 Exeter	8	1	9	3.6%	1.4%	3.1%	Males higher percentage
16 Gloucester	4	2	6	2.8%	2.4%	2.7%	Males higher percentage
17 Guildford	4	2	6	2.1%	1.8%	2.0%	Males higher percentage
18 Hereford	3	1	4	3.9%	1.5%	2.8%	Males higher percentage
19 Leicester	8	1	9	6.8%	1.5%	4.9%	Males higher percentage
20 Lichfield	9	4	13	2.9%	3.1%	3.0%	Females higher percentage
21 Lincoln	7	6	13	4.7%	6.8%	5.5%	Females higher percentage
22 Liverpool	3	3	6	1.7%	3.2%	2.2%	Females higher percentage
23 London	21	6	27	3.8%	4.3%	3.9%	Females higher percentage
24 Manchester	8	4	12	3.7%	3.3%	3.5%	Males higher percentage
25 Newcastle	5	4	9	4.5%	6.5%	5.2%	Females higher percentage
26 Norwich	3	3	6	1.6%	3.6%	2.2%	Females higher percentage
27 Oxford	21	8	29	5.1%	3.7%	4.6%	Males higher percentage
28 Peterborough	3	1	4	2.4%	1.9%	2.2%	Males higher percentage
29 Portsmouth	6	0	6	6.5%	0.0%	3.9%	Males higher percentage
30 Ripon & Leeds							
31 Rochester	6	1	7	3.2%	1.2%	2.6%	Males higher percentage
32 St. Albans	12	4	16	5.9%	3.3%	4.9%	Males higher percentage
33 St. Edmundsbury & Ipswich	7	1	8	5.6%	1.2%	3.8%	Males higher percentage
34 Salisbury	7	1	8	3.7%	0.8%	2.5%	Males higher percentage
35 Sheffield	7	1	8	5.6%	1.8%	4.4%	Males higher percentage
36 Sodor & Man	3	0	3	15.8%	0.0%	12.0%	Males higher percentage
37 Southwark	8	5	13	2.3%	3.0%	2.5%	Females higher percentage
38 Southwell & Nottingham	6	0	6	5.5%	0.0%	3.4%	Males higher percentage
39 Truro	4	2	6	4.1%	3.9%	4.1%	Males higher percentage
40 Wakefield							
41 Winchester	8	4	12	3.8%	4.8%	4.1%	Females higher percentage
42 Worcester	6	3	9	6.1%	5.5%	5.8%	Males higher percentage
43 York	3	4	7	1.5%	5.2%	2.5%	Females higher percentage
44 Europe	6	1	7	4.5%	3.8%	4.4%	Males higher percentage
West Yorkshire & the Dales (combining above diocese)	12	8	20	3.7%	4.3%	3.9%	Females higher percentage
Totals Province of Canterbury	228	72	300	4.0%	2.7%	3.5%	Males higher percentage
Totals Province of York	74	30	104	3.8%	3.2%	3.6%	Males higher percentage
Totals CHURCH or England	302	102	404	3.9%	2.8%	3.6%	Males higher percentage

Females higher percentage 13
Males higher percentage 29

General Synod 2015 - Election Results

	Numbers elected GS 2015						Numbers elected as percentage of those standing (highest percentage by gender is shaded)			Which gender selected most frequently from those candidates that stood	Numbers elected as percentage of eligible candidates (2012 data)		
	M	F	Total	M%	F%	Comparing % of elected candidates, with % eligible candidates by gender	M	F	Total		M	F	Total
1 Bath & Wells	3	1	4	75%	25%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	33%	50%	36%	Females selected more frequently	2%	1%	1%
2 Birmingham	2	1	3	67%	33%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	50%	33%	43%	Males selected more frequently	2%	1%	1%
3 Blackburn	4	1	5	80%	20%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	44%	100%	50%	Females selected more frequently	2%	2%	2%
4 Bradford										Females selected more frequently			
5 Bristol	3	0	3	100%	0%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	43%	#DIV/O!	43%	#DIV/O!	2%	0%	2%
6 Canterbury	2	1	3	67%	33%	female representation GS higher than female proportion clergy	22%	100%	30%	Females selected more frequently	1%	2%	2%
7 Carlisle	2	1	3	67%	33%	female representation GS higher than female proportion clergy	40%	100%	50%	Females selected more frequently	2%	2%	2%
8 Chelmsford	5	2	7	71%	29%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	50%	67%	54%	Females selected more frequently	2%	1%	2%
9 Chester	3	3	6	50%	50%	equal representation	75%	100%	86%	Females selected more frequently	1%	3%	2%
10 Chichester	3	2	5	60%	40%	female representation GS higher than female proportion clergy	23%	100%	33%	Females selected more frequently	1%	3%	1%
11 Coventry	1	2	3	33%	67%	female representation GS higher than female proportion clergy	33%	100%	60%	Females selected more frequently	1%	4%	2%
12 Derby	3	0	3	100%	0%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	50%	0%	38%	Males selected more frequently	2%	0%	1%
13 Durham	0	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%
14 Ely	0	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	0%	#DIV/O!	0%		0%	0%	0%
15 Exeter	0	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%
16 Gloucester	2	1	3	67%	33%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	50%	50%	50%	Equal selection	1%	1%	1%
17 Guildford	3	1	4	75%	25%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	75%	50%	67%	Males selected more frequently	2%	1%	1%
18 Hereford	2	1	3	67%	33%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	67%	100%	75%	Females selected more frequently	3%	1%	2%
19 Leicester	2	1	3	67%	33%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	25%	100%	33%	Females selected more frequently	2%	1%	2%
20 Lichfield	3	3	6	50%	50%	female representation GS higher than female proportion clergy	33%	75%	46%	Females selected more frequently	1%	2%	1%
21 Lincoln	3	1	4	75%	25%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	43%	17%	31%	Males selected more frequently	2%	1%	2%
22 Liverpool	0	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%
23 London	9	2	11	82%	18%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	43%	33%	41%	Males selected more frequently	2%	1%	2%
24 Manchester	4	3	7	57%	43%	female representation GS higher than female proportion clergy	50%	75%	58%	Females selected more frequently	2%	2%	2%
25 Newcastle	2	1	3	67%	33%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	40%	25%	33%	Males selected more frequently	2%	2%	2%
26 Norwich	2	2	4	50%	50%	female representation GS higher than female proportion clergy	67%	67%	67%	Females selected more frequently	1%	2%	1%
27 Oxford	0	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%
28 Peterborough	2	1	3	67%	33%	female representation GS higher than female proportion clergy	67%	100%	75%	Females selected more frequently	2%	2%	2%
29 Portsmouth	3	0	3	100%	0%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	50%	0%	50%	Males selected more frequently	3%	0%	2%
30 Ripon & Leeds										Females selected more frequently			
31 Rochester	3	1	4	75%	25%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	50%	100%	57%	Females selected more frequently	2%	1%	1%
32 St. Albans	4	1	5	80%	20%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	33%	25%	31%	Males selected more frequently	2%	1%	2%
33 St. Edmundsbury & Ipswich	3	0	3	100%	0%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	43%	0%	38%	Males selected more frequently	2%	0%	1%
34 Salisbury	4	1	5	80%	20%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	57%	100%	63%	Females selected more frequently	2%	1%	2%
35 Sheffield	2	1	3	67%	33%	female representation GS higher than female proportion clergy	29%	100%	38%	Females selected more frequently	2%	2%	2%
36 Sodor & Man	0	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	0%	#DIV/O!	0%	#DIV/O!	0%	0%	0%
37 Southwark	0	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%
38 Southwell & Nottingham	0	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	0%	#DIV/O!	0%	#DIV/O!	0%	0%	0%
39 Truro	2	1	3	67%	33%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	50%	50%	50%	Equal selection	2%	2%	2%
40 Wakefield										Females selected more frequently			
41 Winchester	4	0	4	100%	0%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	50%	0%	33%	Males selected more frequently	2%	0%	1%
42 Worcester	0	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%
43 York	3	2	5	60%	40%	female representation GS higher than female proportion clergy	100%	50%	71%	Males selected more frequently	1%	3%	2%
44 Europe	0	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	#DIV/O!	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%
West Yorkshire & the Dales (combining above diocese)	5	5	10	50%	50%	female representation GS higher than female proportion clergy	42%	63%	50%	Females selected more frequently	2%	3%	2%
Totals Province of Canterbury	73	26	99	74%	26%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	32%	36%	33%	Females selected more frequently			
Totals Province of York	25	17	42	60%	40%	female representation GS higher than female proportion clergy	34%	57%	40%	Females selected more frequently			
Totals CHURCH of England	98	43	141	70%	30%	Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy	32%	42%	35%	Females selected more frequently			

female representation GS higher than female proportion clergy 11
 Male representation GS higher than male proportion clergy 20
 equal representation 1

Females selected more frequently 21
 Males selected more frequently 11
 Equal selection 2