

Church of England - Statistics for Mission 2012: Ministry

#	Diocese	Province	M Stip FT	F Stip FT	M% Stip FT	F% Stip FT	Total Stip FT	M Stip PT	F Stip PT	M% Stip PT	F% Stip PT	Total Stip PT	M SSM	F SSM	M% SSM	F% SSM	Total SSM	M OLM	F OLM	M% OLM	F% OLM	Total OLM	M Total Clergy 2012	F Total Clergy 2012	Total Clergy 2012	M% Clergy 2012	F% Clergy 2012	Readers & Church Army	Total Clergy, Readers, Church Army
1	Bath & Wells	C	144	53	73%	27%	197	6	8	43%	57%	14	32	25	56%	44%	57	0	0			0	182	86	268	68%	32%	219	487
2	Birmingham	C	115	48	71%	29%	163	1	4	20%	80%	5	16	23	41%	59%	39	0	0			0	132	75	207	64%	36%	165	372
3	Blackburn	Y	148	20	88%	12%	168	5	6	45%	55%	11	28	24	54%	46%	52	0	0			0	181	50	231	78%	22%	143	374
5	Bristol	C	86	19	82%	18%	105	4	4	50%	50%	8	24	33	42%	58%	57	8	11	42%	58%	19	122	67	189	65%	35%	158	347
6	Canterbury	C	105	27	80%	20%	132	2	1	67%	33%	3	23	31	43%	57%	54	4	6	40%	60%	10	134	65	199	67%	33%	101	300
7	Carlisle	Y	98	26	79%	21%	124	2	3	40%	60%	5	23	21	52%	48%	44	0	1		100%	1	123	51	174	71%	29%	126	300
8	Chelmsford	C	265	89	75%	25%	354	5	8	38%	62%	13	49	45	52%	48%	94	1	3	25%	75%	4	320	145	465	69%	31%	282	747
9	Chester	Y	168	56	75%	25%	224	21	10	68%	32%	31	23	43	35%	65%	66	0	0			0	212	109	321	66%	34%	285	606
10	Chichester	C	253	22	92%	8%	275	17	6	74%	26%	23	56	43	57%	43%	99	0	0			0	326	71	397	82%	18%	136	533
11	Coventry	C	99	18	85%	15%	117	4	6	40%	60%	10	17	25	40%	60%	42	2	6	25%	75%	8	122	55	177	69%	31%	138	315
12	Derby	C	104	45	70%	30%	149	1	1	50%	50%	2	31	27	53%	47%	58	3	8	27%	73%	11	139	81	220	63%	37%	186	406
13	Durham	Y	132	33	80%	20%	165	4	5	44%	56%	9	17	25	40%	60%	42	1	4	20%	80%	5	154	67	221	70%	30%	142	363
14	Ely	C	81	46	64%	36%	127	3	8	27%	73%	11	33	30	52%	48%	63	0	0			0	117	84	201	58%	42%	122	323
15	Exeter	C	168	38	82%	18%	206	23	6	79%	21%	29	31	27	53%	47%	58	0	0			0	222	71	293	76%	24%	178	471
16	Gloucester	C	93	32	74%	26%	125	4	6	40%	60%	10	42	43	49%	51%	85	2	1	67%	33%	3	141	82	223	63%	37%	147	370
17	Guildford	C	136	34	80%	20%	170	7	12	37%	63%	19	24	41	37%	63%	65	25	22	53%	47%	47	192	109	301	64%	36%	116	417
18	Hereford	C	57	33	63%	37%	90	0	3		100%	3	17	25	40%	60%	42	2	7	22%	78%	9	76	68	144	53%	47%	64	208
19	Leicester	C	95	41	70%	30%	136	6	4	60%	40%	10	16	23	41%	59%	39	0	0			0	117	68	185	63%	37%	131	316
20	Lichfield	C	241	51	83%	17%	292	11	13	46%	54%	24	36	39	48%	52%	75	23	26	47%	53%	49	311	129	440	71%	29%	308	748
21	Lincoln	C	112	35	76%	24%	147	3	1	75%	25%	4	17	25	40%	60%	42	16	27	37%	63%	43	148	88	236	63%	37%	125	361
22	Liverpool	Y	150	56	73%	27%	206	0	2		100%	2	17	19	47%	53%	36	11	16	41%	59%	27	178	93	271	66%	34%	290	561
23	London	C	450	80	85%	15%	530	5	8	38%	62%	13	98	52	65%	35%	150	0	0			0	553	140	693	80%	20%	211	904
24	Manchester	Y	158	66	71%	29%	224	7	1	88%	13%	8	19	15	56%	44%	34	35	41	46%	54%	76	219	123	342	64%	36%	135	477
25	Newcastle	Y	88	28	76%	24%	116	4	3	57%	43%	7	12	23	34%	66%	35	8	8	50%	50%	16	112	62	174	64%	36%	85	259
26	Norwich	C	145	37	80%	20%	182	11	7	61%	39%	18	11	15	42%	58%	26	20	24	45%	55%	44	187	83	270	69%	31%	149	419
27	Oxford	C	293	98	75%	25%	391	7	4	64%	36%	11	99	93	52%	48%	192	16	24	40%	60%	40	415	219	634	65%	35%	209	843
28	Peterborough	C	100	37	73%	27%	137	5	0	100%		5	20	16	56%	44%	36	0	0			0	125	53	178	70%	30%	156	334
29	Portsmouth	C	76	22	78%	22%	98	3	6	33%	67%	9	14	32	30%	70%	46	0	0			0	93	60	153	61%	39%	88	241
31	Rochester	C	158	42	79%	21%	200	5	7	42%	58%	12	26	36	42%	58%	62	0	0			0	189	85	274	69%	31%	226	500
32	St. Albans	C	168	71	70%	30%	239	6	10	38%	63%	16	30	40	43%	57%	70	0	1		100%	1	204	122	326	63%	37%	180	506
33	St. Edmondsbury & Ipswich	C	94	39	71%	29%	133	4	7	36%	64%	11	18	21	46%	54%	39	10	16	38%	62%	26	126	83	209	60%	40%	106	315
34	Salisbury	C	142	50	74%	26%	192	2	1	67%	33%	3	35	68	34%	66%	103	10	12	45%	55%	22	189	131	320	59%	41%	93	413
35	Sheffield	Y	107	38	74%	26%	145	4	6	40%	60%	10	14	11	56%	44%	25	0	0			0	125	55	180	69%	31%	174	354
36	Sodor & Man	Y	13	2	87%	13%	15	1	1	50%	50%	2	3	3	50%	50%	6	2	0	100%		2	19	6	25	76%	24%	27	52
37	Southwark	C	264	82	76%	24%	346	2	4	33%	67%	6	76	83	48%	52%	159	0	0			0	342	169	511	67%	33%	192	703
38	Southwell & Nottingham	Y	92	43	68%	32%	135	5	6	45%	55%	11	12	19	39%	61%	31	0	0			0	109	68	177	62%	38%	262	439
39	Truro	C	73	21	78%	22%	94	6	5	55%	45%	11	15	23	39%	61%	38	3	2	60%	40%	5	97	51	148	66%	34%	69	217
41	Winchester	C	137	33	81%	19%	170	3	1	75%	25%	4	70	50	58%	42%	120	0	0			0	210	84	294	71%	29%	184	478
42	Worcester	C	86	27	76%	24%	113	1	1	50%	50%	2	12	27	31%	69%	39	0	0			0	99	55	154	64%	36%	137	291
43	York	Y	163	36	82%	18%	199	5	3	63%	38%	8	38	37	51%	49%	75	0	1		100%	1	206	77	283	73%	27%	189	472
44	Europe	C	110	14	89%	11%	124	0	0			0	23	12	66%	34%	35	0	0			0	133	26	159	84%	16%	80	239
	West Yorkshire & Dales	Y	250	93	73%	27%	343	5	11	31%	69%	16	64	67	49%	51%	131	5	13	28%	72%	18	324	184	508	64%	36%	285	793
	Total Province of Canterbury	C	4,450	1,284	78%	22%	5,734	157	152	51%	49%	309	1,011	1,073	49%	51%	2,084	145	196	43%	57%	341	5763	2705	8,468	68%	32%	4,656	13,124
	Total Province of York	Y	1,567	497	76%	24%	2,064	63	57	53%	48%	120	270	307	47%	53%	577	62	84	42%	58%	146	1962	945	2,907	67%	33%	2,143	5,050
	Total CHURCH of England		6,017	1,781	77%	23%	7,798	220	209	51%	49%	429	1,281	1,380	48%	52%	2,661	207	280	43%	57%	487	7725	3650	11,375	68%	32%	6,799	18,174

General Synod 2015 - Election Candidates

#	Diocese	M Stood GS	F Stood GS	Total Stood GS	M% Clergy that stood for election	F% Clergy that stood for election	Total% Clergy that stood for election	Which Gender had higher percentage eligible candidates standing	M% FT Stip Clergy that stood for election	F% FT Stip Clergy that stood for election	Total% FT Stip Clergy that stood for election	Which Gender had higher percentage eligible candidates standing
1	Bath & Wells	9	2	11	4.9%	2.3%	4.1%	Male	6.3%	3.8%	3.8%	Male
2	Birmingham	4	3	7	3.0%	4.0%	3.4%	Female	3.5%	6.3%	4.3%	Female
3	Blackburn	9	1	10	5.0%	2.0%	4.3%	Male	6.1%	5.0%	6.0%	Male
5	Bristol	7	0	7	5.7%	0.0%	3.7%	Male	8.1%	0.0%	6.7%	Male
6	Canterbury	9	1	10	6.7%	1.5%	5.0%	Male	8.6%	3.7%	7.6%	Male
7	Carlisle	5	1	6	4.1%	2.0%	3.4%	Male	5.1%	3.8%	4.8%	Male
8	Chelmsford	10	3	13	3.1%	2.1%	2.8%	Male	3.8%	3.4%	3.7%	Male
9	Chester	4	3	7	1.9%	2.8%	2.2%	Female	2.4%	5.4%	3.1%	Female
10	Chichester	13	2	15	4.0%	2.8%	3.8%	Male	5.1%	9.1%	5.5%	Female
11	Coventry	3	2	5	2.5%	3.6%	2.8%	Female	3.0%	11.1%	4.3%	Female
12	Derby	6	2	8	4.3%	2.5%	3.6%	Male	5.8%	4.4%	5.4%	Male
13	Durham	9	1	10	5.8%	1.5%	4.5%	Male	6.8%	3.0%	6.1%	Male
14	Ely	6	0	6	5.1%	0.0%	3.0%	Male	7.4%	0.0%	4.7%	Male
15	Exeter	8	1	9	3.6%	1.4%	3.1%	Male	4.8%	2.6%	4.4%	Male
16	Gloucester	4	2	6	2.8%	2.4%	2.7%	Male	4.3%	6.3%	4.8%	Female
17	Guildford	4	2	6	2.1%	1.8%	2.0%	Male	2.9%	5.9%	3.5%	Female
18	Hereford	3	1	4	3.9%	1.5%	2.8%	Male	5.3%	3.0%	4.4%	Male
19	Leicester	8	1	9	6.8%	1.5%	4.9%	Male	8.4%	2.4%	6.6%	Male
20	Lichfield	9	4	13	2.9%	3.1%	3.0%	Female	3.7%	7.8%	4.5%	Female
21	Lincoln	7	6	13	4.7%	6.8%	5.5%	Female	6.3%	17.1%	8.8%	Female
22	Liverpool	3	3	6	1.7%	3.2%	2.2%	Female	2.0%	5.4%	2.9%	Female
23	London	21	6	27	3.8%	4.3%	3.9%	Female	4.7%	7.5%	5.1%	Female
24	Manchester	8	4	12	3.7%	3.3%	3.5%	Male	5.1%	6.1%	5.4%	Female
25	Newcastle	5	4	9	4.5%	6.5%	5.2%	Female	5.7%	14.3%	7.8%	Female
26	Norwich	3	3	6	1.6%	3.6%	2.2%	Female	2.1%	8.1%	3.3%	Female
27	Oxford	21	8	29	5.1%	3.7%	4.6%	Male	7.2%	8.2%	7.4%	Female
28	Peterborough	3	1	4	2.4%	1.9%	2.2%	Male	3.0%	2.7%	2.9%	Male
29	Portsmouth	6	0	6	6.5%	0.0%	3.9%	Male	7.9%	0.0%	6.1%	Male
31	Rochester	6	1	7	3.2%	1.2%	2.6%	Male	3.8%	2.4%	3.5%	Male
32	St. Albans	12	4	16	5.9%	3.3%	4.9%	Male	7.1%	5.6%	6.7%	Male
33	St. Edmondsbury & Ipswich	7	1	8	5.6%	1.2%	3.8%	Male	7.4%	2.6%	6.0%	Male
34	Salisbury	7	1	8	3.7%	0.8%	2.5%	Male	4.9%	2.0%	4.2%	Male
35	Sheffield	7	1	8	5.6%	1.8%	4.4%	Male	6.5%	2.6%	5.5%	Male
36	Sodor & Man	3	0	3	15.8%	0.0%	12.0%	Male	23.1%	0.0%	20.0%	Male
37	Southwark	8	5	13	2.3%	3.0%	2.5%	Female	3.0%	6.1%	3.8%	Female
38	Southwell & Nottingham	6	0	6	5.5%	0.0%	3.4%	Male	6.5%	0.0%	4.4%	Male
39	Truro	4	2	6	4.1%	3.9%	4.1%	Male	5.5%	9.5%	6.4%	Female
41	Winchester	8	4	12	3.8%	4.8%	4.1%	Female	5.8%	12.1%	7.1%	Female
42	Worcester	6	3	9	6.1%	5.5%	5.8%	Male	7.0%	11.1%	8.0%	Female
43	York	3	4	7	1.5%	5.2%	2.5%	Female	1.8%	11.1%	3.5%	Female
44	Europe	6	1	7	4.5%	3.8%	4.4%	Male	5.5%	7.1%	5.6%	Female
	West Yorkshire & Dales	12	8	20	3.7%	4.3%	3.9%	Female	4.8%	8.6%	5.8%	Female
	Total Province of Canterbury	228	72	300	4.0%	2.7%	3.5%	Male	5.1%	5.6%	5.2%	Female
	Total Province of York	74	30	104	3.8%	3.2%	3.6%	Male	4.7%	6.0%	5.0%	Female
	Total CHURCH of England	302	102	404	3.9%	2.8%	3.6%	Male	5.0%	5.7%	5.2%	Female

Female 13
Male 29

Female 21
Male 21

General Synod 2015 - Election Results

#	Diocese	M Elected GS	F Elected GS	Total Elected GS	M% Elected GS	F% Elected GS	Comparing % of elected candidates, with % eligible candidates by gender	Difference in % Total F Clergy to Elected Synod F Clergy	M Elected as % Candidates	F Elected as % Candidates	Total Elected as % Candidates	Which gender selected most frequently from those candidates that stood	M GS Elected as % M Clergy	F GS Elected as % F Clergy	Total GS Elected as % Clergy
1	Bath & Wells	3	1	4	75%	25%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-7%	33%	50%	36%	Females selected more frequently	1.6%	1.2%	1.5%
2	Birmingham	2	1	3	67%	33%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-3%	50%	33%	43%	Males selected more frequently	1.5%	1.3%	1.4%
3	Blackburn	4	1	5	80%	20%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-2%	44%	100%	50%	Females selected more frequently	2.2%	2.0%	2.2%
5	Bristol	3	0	3	100%	0%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-35%	43%	0%	43%	No Females Stood	2.5%	0.0%	1.6%
6	Canterbury	2	1	3	67%	33%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	1%	22%	100%	30%	Females selected more frequently	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%
7	Carlisle	2	1	3	67%	33%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	4%	40%	100%	50%	Females selected more frequently	1.6%	2.0%	1.7%
8	Chelmsford	5	2	7	71%	29%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-3%	50%	67%	54%	Females selected more frequently	1.6%	1.4%	1.5%
9	Chester	3	3	6	50%	50%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	16%	75%	100%	86%	Females selected more frequently	1.4%	2.8%	1.9%
10	Chichester	3	2	5	60%	40%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	22%	23%	100%	33%	Females selected more frequently	0.9%	2.8%	1.3%
11	Coventry	1	2	3	33%	67%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	36%	33%	100%	60%	Females selected more frequently	0.8%	3.6%	1.7%
12	Derby	3	0	3	100%	0%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-37%	50%	0%	38%	Males selected more frequently	2.2%	0.0%	1.4%
13	Durham	4	1	5	80%	20%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-10%	44%	100%	50%	Females selected more frequently	2.6%	1.5%	2.3%
14	Ely	4	0	4	100%	0%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-42%	67%	0%	67%	No Females Stood	3.4%	0.0%	2.0%
15	Exeter	3	1	4	75%	25%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	1%	38%	100%	44%	Females selected more frequently	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%
16	Gloucester	2	1	3	67%	33%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-3%	50%	50%	50%	Equal Selection	1.4%	1.2%	1.3%
17	Guildford	3	1	4	75%	25%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-11%	75%	50%	67%	Males selected more frequently	1.6%	0.9%	1.3%
18	Hereford	2	1	3	67%	33%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-14%	67%	100%	75%	Females selected more frequently	2.6%	1.5%	2.1%
19	Leicester	2	1	3	67%	33%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-3%	25%	100%	33%	Females selected more frequently	1.7%	1.5%	1.6%
20	Lichfield	3	3	6	50%	50%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	21%	33%	75%	46%	Females selected more frequently	1.0%	2.3%	1.4%
21	Lincoln	3	1	4	75%	25%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-12%	43%	17%	31%	Males selected more frequently	2.0%	1.1%	1.7%
22	Liverpool	2	3	5	40%	60%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	26%	67%	100%	83%	Females selected more frequently	1.1%	3.2%	1.8%
23	London	9	2	11	82%	18%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-2%	43%	33%	41%	Males selected more frequently	1.6%	1.4%	1.6%
24	Manchester	4	3	7	57%	43%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	7%	50%	75%	58%	Females selected more frequently	1.8%	2.4%	2.0%
25	Newcastle	2	1	3	67%	33%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-2%	40%	25%	33%	Males selected more frequently	1.8%	1.6%	1.7%
26	Norwich	2	2	4	50%	50%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	19%	67%	67%	67%	Equal Selection	1.1%	2.4%	1.5%
27	Oxford	5	4	9	56%	44%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	10%	24%	50%	31%	Females selected more frequently	1.2%	1.8%	1.4%
28	Peterborough	2	1	3	67%	33%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	4%	67%	100%	75%	Females selected more frequently	1.6%	1.9%	1.7%
29	Portsmouth	3	0	3	100%	0%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-39%	50%	0%	50%	No Females Stood	3.2%	0.0%	2.0%
31	Rochester	3	1	4	75%	25%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-6%	50%	100%	57%	Females selected more frequently	1.6%	1.2%	1.5%
32	St. Albans	4	1	5	80%	20%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-17%	33%	25%	31%	Males selected more frequently	2.0%	0.8%	1.5%
33	St. Edmundsbury & Ipswich	3	0	3	100%	0%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-40%	43%	0%	38%	Males selected more frequently	2.4%	0.0%	1.4%
34	Salisbury	4	1	5	80%	20%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-21%	57%	100%	63%	Females selected more frequently	2.1%	0.8%	1.6%
35	Sheffield	2	1	3	67%	33%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	3%	29%	100%	38%	Females selected more frequently	1.6%	1.8%	1.7%
36	Sodor & Man	1	0	1	100%	0%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-24%	33%	0%	33%	No Females Stood	5.3%	0.0%	4.0%
37	Southwark	5	2	7	71%	29%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-5%	63%	40%	54%	Males selected more frequently	1.5%	1.2%	1.4%
38	Southwell & Nottingham	3	0	3	100%	0%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-38%	50%	0%	50%	No Females Stood	2.8%	0.0%	1.7%
39	Truro	2	1	3	67%	33%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-1%	50%	50%	50%	Equal Selection	2.1%	2.0%	2.0%
41	Winchester	4	0	4	100%	0%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-29%	50%	0%	33%	Males selected more frequently	1.9%	0.0%	1.4%
42	Worcester	1	2	3	33%	67%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	31%	17%	67%	33%	Females selected more frequently	1.0%	3.6%	1.9%
43	York	2	3	5	40%	60%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	33%	67%	75%	71%	Females selected more frequently	1.0%	3.9%	1.8%
44	Europe	2	1	3	67%	33%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	17%	33%	100%	43%	Females selected more frequently	1.5%	3.8%	1.9%
	West Yorkshire & Dales	5	5	10	50%	50%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	14%	42%	63%	50%	Females selected more frequently	1.5%	2.7%	2.0%
	Total Province of Canterbury	93	36	129	72%	28%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-4%	41%	50%	43%	Females selected more frequently	1.6%	1.3%	1.5%
	Total Province of York	34	22	56	61%	39%	Female representation GS higher than Female % clergy	7%	46%	73%	54%	Females selected more frequently	1.7%	2.3%	1.9%
	Total CHURCH of England	127	58	185	69%	31%	Male representation GS higher than male % clergy	-1%	42%	42%	46%	Males selected more frequently	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%

male representation GS higher than female % clergy 17
 male representation GS higher than male % clergy 25
 equal representation 0

Females selected more frequently 24
 Males selected more frequently 10
 Equal selection 3